==========

Subject: Chambers beneath the Sphynx, Sphynx predating known Egypt
Organization: Swarthmore College
Date: Tue, 27 Jul 1993 14:49:21 GMT

Along with the "face" on Mars, there is what has been called the "city," a collection of about five or six near-identical structures that form a broken circle. The end of the circle that is broken forms a straight line to the "face." At the third point of this triangle, there is a tetrahedronal structure, which also seems artificial. What do you all think about these?

==========

Subject: Chambers beneath the Sphynx, Sphynx predating known Egypt
Organization: Corning Incorporated

In article Anam Owili-Eger writes:
> Along with the "face" on Mars, there is what has been called the "city," a
> collection of about five or six near-identical structures that form a
> broken circle. The end of the circle that is broken forms a straight line
> to the "face." At the third point of this triangle, there is a
> tetrahedronal structure, which also seems artificial. What do you all think
> about these?

I think you should have a look at all of the pictures that were taken. There are thousands of formations in that area. IMHO, looking at these structures is analogous to looking at clouds. If you happen to see one that looks familiar, would you say that the cloud was artificially formed?

Seeing "artificial forms" in random shapes depends on a lot of things that have nothing to do with possible artificial origins. Lighting conditions, angular resolution, imagination, desire to see something, etc... Sky and Telescope had an article about a year ago on looking at the "city" on the moon. This structure also looked artificial until better resolution images became available.

I'm not necessarily a skeptic of these numerous claims of artificial structures on other planets (well, maybe I am ;-)). It's just that good scientific investigation requires proof that a hypothesis is true, not proof that a hypothesis is false. ie. (for the statisticians and experimenters out there) Ho: The structures are natural
Ha: The structures are artificial

Unfortunately, sensationalists tend to switch the null and alternate hypothesis. So if we can't say for sure that they are natural then the structures must be artificial. This is NOT good science.

Just my $.02.

Tony

==========